- (10) Work closely with all streams of national service;
- (11) Provide administrative and technical support to the Corporation at all phases of the program;
- (12) Send representatives to Corporation-sponsored training events; (13) Respond to inquiries from all
- parties in timely fashion.

Corporation Involvement

Substantial involvement is expected between the Corporation and the successful applicant when carrying out the program. Corporation staff may make substantial changes to the requirements or operation of the program, as needed. The cooperative agreement party is expected to work with other Corporation departments, contractors, and others to market the program and ensure that the program maintains alignment with Corporation goals. The Corporation provides sufficient staff to support this effort and to oversee the provision of Corporation funds. The applicant must keep relevant Corporation staff informed of its activities; work with Corporation staff during development, delivery and assessment of services provided; and attend meetings/conferences at the Corporation's request.

Project Duration

The Corporation anticipates entering into a cooperative agreement covering a project period of approximately three years, with annual renewal based on performance, need, and the availability of funds at the discretion of the Corporation.

Overview of Application Requirements

The application should include a narrative section describing the organization's background and capacity to provide the technical and administrative support for this program, a narrative project plan, an implementation timeline, a staffing plan, and a certification that it will comply with all conditions attendant on the receipt of federal funding. The application may be no longer than 20 single-sided pages double-spaced in 12 point font.

Initially all applications will be reviewed to confirm that the applicant is an eligible recipient and to ensure that the application contains the information required. The Corporation will assess applications based on the criteria listed below (in descending order of importance):

- (1) Quality
- (2) Organizational Capacity
- (3) Proposed Costs

The Corporation reserves the right to request additional written information from applicants subsequent to the submission of initial applications.

Dated: September 10, 1999.

Thomas L. Bryant,

Associate General Counsel. [FR Doc. 99–24160 Filed 9–15–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6050–28–U

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Environmental Impact Statement for Improved Ordnance Storage for Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, Arizona

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice of correction.

SUMMARY: Due to an incorrect zip code, an extension of the comment period has been given for the Environmental Impact Statement for Improved Ordnance Storage for Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, Arizona.

DATES: Comment period extended to September 30, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Building #888, Box 99140, Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, Arizona.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Richard Samrah, Planning Supervisor, Bldg #888, Box 99140, Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, Arizona 85369–9140.

Dated: September 13, 1999.

J.L. Roth,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 99–24180 Filed 9–15–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Final Supplement Analysis: Pit Manufacturing Facilities at Los Alamos National Laboratory, Stockpile Stewardship and Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) announces the availability of the "Final Supplement Analysis: Pit Manufacturing Facilities at Los Alamos National Laboratory, Stockpile Stewardship and Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (SSM PEIS)," DOE/EIS-0236/SA-6. The Final Supplement Analysis

includes a determination that no further National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis is needed.

ADDRESSES: To obtain a copy of the Final Supplement Analysis, contact: Corey Cruz, Division Director, U.S. DOE, Albuquerque Operations Office, Nuclear Programs Division (NPD), PO Box 5400, Albuquerque, NM 87185–5400.

The Final Supplement Analysis will be available under the NEPA Analyses Module of the DOE NEPA Web Site at http://tis.eh.doe.gov/nepa/.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information on the DOE NEPA process, please contact: Ms. Carol M. Borgstrom, EH–42, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20585.

Ms. Borgstrom may be contacted by calling (202) 586–4600 or by leaving a message at (800) 472–2756.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Final Supplement Analysis was prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.], the Council on Environmental Quality NEPA regulations [40 CFR Parts 1500-1508], and the DOE NEPA regulations [10 CFR Part 1021]. DOE prepared the Supplement Analysis in compliance with the Memorandum Opinion and Order issued by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in Natural Resources Defense Council v. Richardson, (CA 97-0936(SS)). The Supplement Analysis examines issues related to the plausibility of seismic activity, fire, and sabotage at facilities at Los Alamos National Laboratory.

The Final Supplement Analysis is available for public review and copying at the following three locations:

University of New Mexico, Zimmerman Library, Government Information Department, Reading Room, Albuquerque, NM, (505) 277–8960.

Los Alamos National Laboratory Community Relations Office, 1619 Central Avenue, Los Alamos, New Mexico, (505) 615–0816.

U.S. Department of Energy, Freedom of Information Reading Room, Room 1E– 190, 1000 Independence Ave, SW, Washington, DC, (202) 586–3142.

The Department prepared a Draft Supplement Analysis and issued it for public review and comment on July 1, 1999 (64 FR 35635). The comment period closed on August 2, 1999. The Department considered and responded to the comments received, revised the Draft Supplement Analysis, and is